THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS MDAnderson **Cancer** Center

Clinical activity of SD-101 with immune checkpoint inhibition (ICI) in metastatic uveal melanoma liver metastasis (MUM-LM) from the PERIO-01 Phase 1 trial



Contact: SPPatel@mdanderson.org

Abstract #1534 ¹Sapna P. Patel*, ²Richard Carvajal, ³Kamaneh Montazeri, ⁴Jose Lutzky, ⁵Bartosz Chmielowski, ⁶Sunil Reddy, ⁷Shailander Bhatia, ²Shaheer Khan, ⁸Theresa Medina, ¹Cara Haymaker, ¹Rahul Sheth, ¹Joshua D. Kuban, ⁴Lindsay Thornton, ³Eric Wehrenberg-Klee, ⁹Paula Novelli, ¹Anthony Lucci, ¹⁰Iason LaPorte, ¹⁰Prajna Guha, ¹⁰Chandra Ghosh, ¹⁰Ann-Marie Hulstine, ¹⁰Robert Knight, ¹⁰Ashley Moody, ⁹David Geller, ¹¹Marlana Orloff, ^{10,12}Steven C. Katz, ⁹Diwakar Davar

¹MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston; ²Northwell Health Cancer Institute, New Hyde Park, NY; ³Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA; ⁴University of California, Los Angeles, CA; ⁶Stanford Cancer Center, Stanford, CA; ⁷University of Washington, Seattle, WA; ⁸University of Colorado, Denver, CO; ⁹University, Philadelphia, PA; ¹²Department of Surgery, Brown University, Providence, RI

^r Presenting Author

Background

- MUM-LM are resistant to ICIs for several reasons including the prevalence of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs)
- PFS has been limited, even with approved therapies such as tebentafusp (median 3.3 months) with grade 3/4 AE rates typically >30%
- TLR9 agonists are capable of MDSC polarization but drug delivery has historically been limited using an intra-tumoral approach
- potential to overcome these barriers to improve outcomes

Methods

 PERIO-01 is a phase 1 trial of hepatic arterial infusion of SD-101 via PEDD[™] in MUM-LM (NCT04935229), with dose-escalation cohorts as monotherapy (Cohort A), with nivolumab (Cohort B), or nivolumab + ipilimumab (Cohort C) • SD-101 is delivered over 2 outpatient cycles, with 3 weekly doses/cycle. • Plasma was analyzed for SD-101 levels by LC-MS and cytokine levels by Luminex

Summary

- Regional delivery of SD-101 by PEDD results in high drug levels within the liver and transient serum exposure
- Median PFS of 11.7 months in patients receiving 2 mg + nivolumab is promising (median OS not reached)
- Pressure-enabled drug delivery (PEDD[™]) of SD-101, a TLR9 agonist, has the Increased CD8+ T cell, NK cell and M1 macrophage infiltration in the tumors
 - Decreased immunosuppressive Tregs, M-MDSCs and M2 macrophages in liver metastases
 - Gene expression analysis in LM and in PBMCs revealed increased signals related to CD8⁺ cytotoxic T lymphocyte activity, Th1 activation, cytokine and chemokine signaling

Figure 6. Plasma levels of SD-101 are transient and remain low following PEDD



LM. The nSolver advanced analysis was performed for pathway scoring. • FFPE tissue sections were analyzed by multiplex IF using the Akoya Bioscience PhenoCycler-Fusion system staining for DAPI, CD14, HLA-DR, • Systemic increase in NK cell proliferation and CD8+ T cell CD163, CD68, CD11b, CD8, CD15, CD56, CD3e, CD4, CD20, FOXP3, gp100, & CD45. Quantitative cell density data was generated using QuPath 0.4.3

 0.4.3
 Flow cytometry was performed to evaluate CD8⁺ T cell proliferation (CD45⁺CD8⁺(COS⁺) and NK cell proliferation (CD45⁺CD3⁻CD56⁺Ki67⁺), using CytoFlex



SD-101 Off Period SD-101 Cycle 2 SD-101 Cycle 1 Weekly x 3 Weekly x 3 5-week rest

• NanoString was used to analyze gene expression levels within PBMCs and • Systemic immune activation observed by an increase in proinflammatory cytokines

activation

Delivery of SD-101 by PEDD plus systemic ICI in MUM-LM patients results in clinical activity with median PFS of 11.7 months, ctDNA molecular responses, MDSC re-programming, and evidence of peripheral and intra-tumoral immune activation.

Table 2. Safety Summary

	N=13	N=4	N=5	N=4	N=26	N=7	N=8	N=11	N=17	N=10	N=7	N=56
*All listed events are related to SD-101, ipi, and/or nivo	Cohort A Summary n (%)	Cohort A 2mg SD-101 n (%)	Cohort A 4mg SD-101 n (%)	Cohort A 8mg SD-101 n (%)	Cohort B Summary n (%)	Cohort B 2mg SD-101 + Nivo n (%)	Cohort B 4mg SD-101 + Nivo n (%)	Cohort B 8mg SD-101 + Nivo n (%)	Cohort C Summary n (%)	Cohort C 2mg SD-101 + Ipi/Nivo n (%)	Cohort C 4mg SD-101 + Ipi/Nivo n (%)	All cohorts n (%)
Treatment-related AEs (any grade)	5 (38)	0	3 (60)	2 (50)	19 (73)	5 (71)	6 (75)	8 (73)	15 (88)	10 (100)	5 (71)	39 (70)
DLTs	0	0	0	0	2 (8)	1 (14)	0	1 (9)	0	0	0	2 (4)
SAEs	1 (8)	0	0	1 (25)	1 (4)	0	1 (13)	0	6 (35)	4 (40)	2 (29)	8 (14)
≥G3 events (%)	1 (8)	0	1 (20)	0	5 (19)	1 (14)	2 (25)	2 (18)	8 (47)	5 (50)	3 (43)	14 (25)
Most common AEs												
-Gastrointestinal Disorders	3 (23)	0	2 (40)	1 (25)	8 (31)	2 (29)	3 (38)	3 (27)	12 (71)	8 (80)	4 (57)	23 (41)
-Fatigue	2 (15)	0	2 (40)	0	5 (19)	2 (29)	2 (25)	1 (9)	10 (59)	7 (70)	3 (43)	17 (30)
-Skin Disorders	0	0	0	0	7 (27)	3 (43)	1 (13)	3 (27)	8 (47)	6 (60)	2 (29)	15 (27)
-Fever	2 (15)	0	1 (20)	1 (25)	1 (4)	0	0	1 (9)	6 (35)	3 (30)	3 (43)	9 (16)
-Administration Site Conditions	1 (8)	0	0	1 (25)	4 (15)	2 (29)	0	2 (18)	4 (24)	3 (30)	1 (14)	9 (16)
-Increased ALT	0	0	0	0	4 (15)	1 (14)	2 (25)	1 (9)	4 (24)	2 (20)	2 (29)	8 (14)
-Increased AST	0	0	0	0	4 (15)	1 (14)	2 (25)	1 (9)	4 (24)	2 (20)	2 (29)	8 (14)

Figure 6. Plasma was collected on infusion days at the indicated time points and analyzed for SD-101 levels by LC-MS. A. 2mg dose B. 4mg dose, C. 8mg dose and D. in liver tissue post-infusion.





Cohort B (n=12)

Cohort C (n=4)

Cohort B (n=12)

Cohort C (n=4)

📕 8mg (n=



2-8mg dose range predicted based on murine orthotopic liver metastasis PEDD model Figure 1. (A) Overall study design and (B) Treatment regimen.

 Table 1. Patient Characteristics

Patient Characterist	ics N=56 (%)
Age (median, range	64 (35-86)
Gender	
Female	26 (46)
Male	30 (54)
LDH	
>ULN	27 (48)
Normal	27 (48)
N/A	2 (4)
Performance Status	5
0	45 (80)
1	11 (20)
# prior lines therapy	/
0	16 (29)
1	17 (30)
2	12 (21)
≥3	8 (14)
N/A	3 (5)
Prior tebentafusp	9 (16)



MR = minor response (10-29% decrease) PR = partial response (≥30% decrease)

Objective RECIST 1.1 response rates

Best On-Treatment RECIST Response for Patients Treated with SD-101 + Nivo or SD-101 + Ipi + Nivo







Figure 7. Multiplex immunofluorescence images of immune cell infiltration in baseline and Day 57 LM. CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cell infiltration in LM from patients 111-025 (top panel) and 102-023 (bottom) panel) (A), NK cells (CD3⁻CD56⁺) (B) and MDSC (CD45⁺CD11b⁺HLA-DR⁻CD68⁻) for patient 101-026 (C). Images were quantified with QuPath. Change in cell concentration from baseline data represented as mean + SEM.

Figure 8. Gene expression patterns in tumors and in circulation associated with tumor



Results

Figure 2. PEDD of SD-101 via HAI reduced ctDNA





Figure 2. ctDNA levels quantified by next-generation sequencing. (A) Change in ctDNA levels from highest level on-treatment during Cycle 1 (weeks 1-3) to the latest available post-treatment time point following Cycle 1 (week 6 or 9). (B) Change in ctDNA levels from baseline to any time point with the lowest ctDNA level.



Figure 3. Kaplan–Meier curves showing overall survival for patients in different Cohorts (**A**) and in Cohort B (**B**).



Figure 5. Sequential MRI/CT scans



F/U #2 - 05Oct2022

F/<u>U #4 – 28March202</u>3 F/U #5 – 20June2023







Baseline – 28Nov2022











Figure 8. Pathway scoring determined by advanced analysis of NanoString gene expression data at baseline and Day 57 for LM (A) and Day 36 for PBMCs (B).

Figure 9. Immune signatures in circulation associated with tumor regression



Figure 9. Change in cytokine and chemokine levels in each cohort (left) and at each dose level (right) (A). Change in protein expression on CD8⁺ T and NK cells in PBMCs by flow cytometry in each cohort (left) and at each dose level (right) (B). Data presented as mean + SEM.